Serial No.: 10/749,163

In reply to Office Action mailed January 11, 2007

Page 6 of 6

REMARKS

The claims in the above listed application are the subject of a restriction requirement. Specifically, the Examiner has asserted that the claims are classified in two

separate groups:

Group I including claims 1-19, and

Group II including claims 20-28

In response, Applicant respectfully elects the claims of Group I, however, objects to

the classification of claims 20-22. As stated by the Examiner, the claims of Group I (i.e.,

identified as claims 1-19), are directed towards a storage disk or media classified in class

369, subclass 275.1+. The Applicant asserts that claims 20-22 are also more properly

classified in this group. Consequently, the Applicant requests examination of claims 1-22.

At this time, Applicant also withdraws claims 23-28, without prejudice to prosecute in a

divisional or continuation application.

Should the Examiner have any questions or concerns, please contact the

undersigned to expedite the further prosecution of this application.

Respectfully submitted,

₹v

Craig J. Lervick, Reg. No. 35,244

Customer No. 34205

Oppenheimer Wolff & Donnelly LLP 45 South Seventh Street, Ste. 3300

Minneapolis, MN 55402 Telephone: (612) 607-7387

Fax: (612) 607-7100